

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/714,590 11/13/2003		11/13/2003	Avinash S. Patwardhan	166538006US	1690	
25096	7590 06/27/2005			EXAMINER		
PERKINS (		P	BHAT, ADITYA S			
PATENT-SE P.O. BOX 12			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SEATTLE,	WA 981	11-1247	2863			

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					XV			
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	0			
		10/714,59	0	PATWARDHAN ET A	L.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Aditya S. E	Shat	2863				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence addre	ss			
THE - External after of the control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, ensions of time may be available under the provisions of r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum staturure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ication. days, a reply within the statu tory period will apply and will II, by statute, cause the appli	ent, however, may a reply be story minimum of thirty (30) of I expire SIX (6) MONTHS for ication to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this comm  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 05 March 2004.						
		This action is no	on-final.					
3)□								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> are subject to restriction	withdrawn from cor						
Applicat	tion Papers							
10)🖂	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	2003 is/are: a)⊠ acon to the drawing(s) become correction is require	e held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for D All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been ocuments have been the priority documents all Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applic ents have been rece e 17.2(a)).	ation No vived in this National Sta	зge			
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pi er No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summ. Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		52)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim 1-10 & 11-29, drawn to determining a pollutant load of a source at a selected confidence level, classified in class 210, subclass 739.
- II. Claims 30-41, drawn to determining equivalency of loads of a pollutant of a source, classified in class 73, subclass 863.41.
- III. Claims 42-50, drawn to presenting results of a water quality analysis, classified in class 702, subclass 16.
- IV. Claim 51, drawn to presenting pollutant loads, classified in class 702, subclass 16.
- V. Claims 52-61, drawn to a computer system for assisting in trading of water quality relating to a pollutant, classified in class 702, subclass 23.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions V and I-IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case invention five can practice methods described in inventions I-IV.

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such

as determining equivalency of loads of a pollutant of a source using a trading ratio. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as presenting results of a water quality analysis providing earned credit information for a plurality of sources. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as presenting pollutant loads by displaying a map representing the sources. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as presenting pollutant loads by displaying a map representing the sources. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as presenting pollutant loads by displaying a map representing the sources. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as providing estimated loading information for a plurality of sources. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each of the above mentioned groups is not required for other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aditya S. Bhat whose telephone number is 571-272-2270. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aditya Bhat June 20, 2005

John Barlow Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800